

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,545	02/08/2006	Ugenio Ferreira Da Silva Neto	DASI3002/FJD	9542
23364 BACON & TH	7590 03/18/200 IOMAS, PLLC	EXAMINER		
625 SLATERS LANE			WRIGHT, BRYAN F	
FOURTH FLC ALEXANDRI	OK A, VA 22314-1176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2431	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/518,545	DA SILVA NETO, UGENIO FERREIRA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BRYAN WRIGHT	2431		

	BRYAN WRIGHT	2431	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		t was made at a construction. The	ata and taken to
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I		00(-) 1 15	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
	F	man comment	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a filed after a</li></ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below         (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	at canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment of the proposed amendme</li></ol>		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for ellower	ce because:
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Auga D. Chailch/			
/Ayaz R. Sheikh/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2431	/BRYAN WRIGHT/ Examiner, Art Unit 2431		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

## Application No.

Note: Applicant's amendment to independent claim 22 raises new a ground of rejection and will require a new search. First, applicant has amended independent claim 22 breetie "Connected over a data bus with a remote control unit" and further after here tall of "by the control unit over the data bus". Examiner contends there exist a 112<sup>th</sup> 2<sup>rd</sup> paragraph, Lack of antecedent basis, with applicant's newly amended subject matter. Secondly, applicant's amendment to independent claim 22 varies the claim scope as presented in previous claim limitation language by the addition of the rectifing of claim limitation elements, "remote control unit" and "authorian evaluation". Examiner contends to properly address applicant's remarks presented on 2/27/2009 as they pretained to the newly added subject matter in independent claim 22, a proper examination will be required and subsequently a new search in this instance.